

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/554,260	Applicant(s) MENZEL, JOHANNES	
	Examiner Frank M. Lawrence	Art Unit 1797	

All Participants:

(1) Frank M. Lawrence.

(2) Mark Hixon.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 December 2009

Time: 4 pm e.t.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

17, 25

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant authorized an examiner's amendment in order to put the application in condition for allowance, including canceling duplicate claim 25 and amending claim 17 to include an omitted phrase.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)